







2





















### **PROFITABLE GROWTH** DOE Power Based Software Decision Support Tools Available via the Website • Air Master + Provides Motor Master + Assists in comprehensive information energy-efficient motor on assessing compressed air selection and management. systems. (International) Industrial Facilities Tool Pumping System Assesses HVAC, Lighting ... upgrade opportunities. Assessment **Tool** Assesses the Chilled Water System efficiency of pumping system Assessment Tool Assesses the efficiency of a chilled operations. water system. Fan System Assessment Tool quantifies potential benefits of a more optimally configured fan system

# **PROFITABLE GROWTH**

DOE Fuel Based Software Decision Support Tools Available via the Website

- Steam System Scoping Tool Profiles and grades large steam system operations/management.
- Steam System Assessment Tool Assesses potential benefits of specific steam-system
- improvements.
   3EPlus Insulation Assessment Tool Calculates most economical thickness of insulation for a

variety of operating conditions.

- **Plant Energy Profiler** profiles plant energy supply along consumption streams and identifies energy savings opportunities
- Process Heating Assessment and Survey Tool Assesses energy use in furnaces, ovens and kilns along with performance improvements
- Energy Management Tool Suite integrates ITP's technical solutions system based tools along with additional Energy Management Best Practice support capabilities















			F	PRO	OFI	TA	BL	EG	RO	N
	Sa	iving	s Si	umm	ary I	Rep	ort			
, Efficiency Measures								_		
	3 ?			Life	cycle			Can	icel	
Facility Main Facility System Primary System		*	\$	Scenario F	irst EEM		<u>•</u>	]		
Data Entry				Savings Summary						
				Graph						
Description	Peak Demand (kW)	Demand (\$)	Energy (kWh)	% Energy Use	Energy (\$)	Cost Savings (\$)	Installed Cost (\$)	Simple Payback (years)		
Fix Leaks	14.5	755	79963	0.099	2399	3154	1000	0.3		
Use efficient nozzles	26.2	1365	48638	0.06	1459	2824	800	0.3		
Heduce Pressure	15.2	/93	82646	10.3	24/9	3272	100	0		
Add Sequencing	15.9	829	20345	25	4004	4004	3000	21		
Reduce Runtime	0	0	41517	5.2	1246	1246	0	0	-	
	-									
TOTALS	71.7	2742	424901	52.0	1246	10/001	L-1100	0.41		







	Result				
		is Summary			
	SSAT Defa	ult 3 Header Model			
	Mode	el Status : OK			
Cost Summary (\$ '000s/yr)	Current Operation	After Projects	Reduction	1	
Power Cost	2,000	2,000	0	0.0%	
Fuel Cost	24,178	22,835	1,343	5.6%	
Make-Up Water Cost	453	453	U	0.0%	
Total Cost (in \$ '000s/yr)	26,631	25,288	1,343	5.0%	
On-Site Emissions	Current Operation	After Projects	Reduction	a	
CO2 Emissions	486135 klb/yr	459127 klb/yr	27007 klb/yr	5.6%	
SOx Emissions	i 0 klb/yr	0 klb/yr	0 klb/yr	N/A	
NOx Emissions	962 klb/yr	909 klb/yr	53 klb/yr	5.6%	
Power Station Emissions		Reduction After Projects	Total Reduct	tion	
CO2 Emissions		0 kib/yr	27007 Kib/yr	-	
SOx Emissions		U kib/yr	0 klb/yr	-	
Note Calculates the impact of the char.	as is site nower import on emissions (	U KID/ yr from op cyternal power station. Total reducti	53 KID/yi	r otation	
Note - Calculates the impact of the onun-	ge in site power import on enasions :	fom an external power station. Total resources	on values are for site's power	r station	
Utility Balance	Current Operation	After Projects	Reduction	a	
Power Generation	13883 kW	13883 kW	-	-	
Power Import	5000 kW	5000 kW	0 kW	0.0%	
Total Site Electrical Demand	18883 kW	18883 kW	-	-	
Boiler Duty	523.0 MMBtu/h	494.0 MMBtu/h	29.1 MMBtu/h	5.6%	
Fuel Type	Natural Gas	Natural Gas	-	-	
	522874.9 s cu.ft/h	493826.3 s cu.ft/h	-	-	
Fuel Consumption		416.5 klb/b	0.0 klb/h	0.0%	
Boiler Steam Flow	416.5 klb/h	110.0 1001			
Fuel Consumption Boiler Steam Flow Fuel Cost (in \$/MMBtu)	416.5 klb/h 5.78	5.78	-		
Fuel Consumption Boiler Steam Flow Fuel Cost (in \$/MMBtu) Power Cost (as \$/MMBtu)	416.5 klb/h 5.78 14.65	5.78 14.65	:	:	
Fuel Consumption Boiler Steam Flow Fuel Cost (in \$/MMBtu) Power Cost (as \$/MMBtu) Make-Up Water Flow	416.5 klb/h 5.78 14.65 22660 gal/h	5.78 14.65 22660 gal/h	- - 0 gal/h		
	Cost Summary (5'000s/yr) Power Cost Fuel Cost Make-Up Water Cost Total Cost (in 5'000s/yr)  Co2 Emissions SOX Emissions NOX Emissions CO2 Emissions CO2 Emissions CO2 Emissions Nox Emissions Not entry the second s	Cost Summary (\$ '000s/yr)         Current Operation           Power Cost         2,000           Fuel Cost         24,178           Make-Up Water Cost         453           Total Cost (in \$ '000s/yr)         26,631           On-Site Emissions         486135 klb/yr           SOx Emissions         0 klb/yr           Nox Emissions         962 klb/yr           Power Station Emissions         202 Emissions           Klox Emissions         962 klb/yr           Vox Emissions         962 klb/yr           Power Station Emissions         2000 klb/yr           Vox Emissions         13853 kW           Power Generation         13853 kW           Power Import         5000 kW           Total Site Electrical Demand         13853 kW           Boiler Duty         523 0 MMBtu/h           Power Generation         13853 kW           Power Subscription         5030 kW           Power Sub	Cost Summary (\$ '009s/yr)         Current Operation         After Projects           Power Cost         2,000         2,000           Fuel Cost         24,178         22,835           Make-Up Vater Cost         453         453           Total Cost (in \$ '009s/yr)         26,631         25,288           On-Site Emissions         Current Operation         After Projects           CO2 Emissions         48513s (hb/yr         459127 kib/yr           SOX Emissions         0 kib/yr         909 kib/yr           NOX Emissions         0 kib/yr         909 kib/yr           Power Station Emissions         0 kib/yr         0 kib/yr           CO2 Emissions         0 kib/yr         0 kib/yr           SOX Emissions         0 kib/yr         0 kib/yr           Note Caclaudies the inpact of the change in alle power import on emissions from an external power station. Total reducti         0 kib/yr           Note Caclaudies the leneration         13803 kW         2000 kW         2000 kW           Power Generation         13803 kW         18833 kW         18833 kW         2000 kW         2000 kW           Power Generation         13803 kW         18833 kW         18833 kW         18833 kW         18833 kW           Bolier Duty         523.0 MMBru/h	Cost Summary (\$ '000s/yr)         Current Operation         After Projects         Reduction           Power Cost         2,000         2,000         0         0         0           Fuel Cost         24,178         22,835         1,343         0         1,343         0           Make-Up Water Cost         453         453         0         1,343         0           Total Cost (in \$ '000s/yr)         26,631         25,288         1,343         0           On-Site Emissions         486135 klb/yr         459127 klb/yr         0 klb/yr         27007 klb/yr         27007 klb/yr         27007 klb/yr         27007 klb/yr         27007 klb/yr         53 klb/yr         50 klb/yr         53 klb/yr         50 klb/yr<	Cost Summary (\$ '000s/yr)         Current Operation         After Projects         Reduction           Power Cost         2,000         2,000         0         0         0.0%           Fuel Cost         24,178         22,835         1,343         5.6%         0         0.0%           Total Cost (in \$ '000s/yr)         26,631         25,288         1,343         5.6%         0         0.0%           Cost State Emissions         486135 klb/yr         25,288         1,343         5.6%         0         0.0%           CO2 Emissions         0 klb/yr         0 klb/yr         0 klb/yr         0 klb/yr         5.6%         2007 klb/yr         5.6%           Power Station Emissions         0 klb/yr         909 klb/yr         0 klb/yr         27007 klb/yr         5.6%           CO2 Emissions         0 klb/yr         0 klb/yr         0 klb/yr         27007 klb/yr         5.6%           SOx Emissions         0 klb/yr         0 klb/yr         0 klb/yr         5.6%         27007 klb/yr         5.6%           Note Calculates the impact of the change in site power import on emissions from an external power station.         0 klb/yr         0 klb/













Information	Eurpace Data			
	File Help			
	U.S. Department of Energy Efficiency a	ergy Ind Renewable Energy <sub>Bringing yo</sub> dean.abun	u a prosperous future where energy is dant reliable and affordable	
	Plant Name Test Petroleum	Plant - US Furnace Name Flue Gas Losses/Heating System	Cat Cracker Heat Storage	
	Water - Cooling Losses	Wall Losses	Dpening Losses	
	Load/Charge Material	Fixtures, <u>T</u> rays, Baskets etc. Losses	Atmosphere Losses	
	Select Type	C Solid C Liquid	C Gas	
	Type of Material	Current Mc W Gasoline stock V Ga	asoline stock	
	Charge (Liquid)-Feed Rate (lb/hr)	55000	55000	
	Discharge Temp. (Degree F)	750	750	
	Charge Liquid Vaporized (% of Charge)	100	100	
	Charge Reacted (%) Heat of Reaction (Btu/lb)		0	
	Additional Heat Required (Btu/hr)		0	
	Heat Begrined (Btu/br)	22 341 000	22 341 000	
		22,011,000		
	Commer	ts	Previous Next 🖘	
	Current Net Heat Required (Btu/hr)	24,075,899 💽 Furnace Sum	imary 🛛 🕏 Enter/Edit Current Data	
	Modified Net Heat Required (Btu/hr)	23,696,425	Report D* Close	
start 💦 🛕 America O	nline	Microsoft PowerPol	AST Version 2.0	🔇 👤 🕸 🛄 🚺 7:42 Pi
	*			

PHAST Version 2.0 - US Units				
File Information	ance Data		6	3
E In Ha			Let a let	
THE THE	II S Department of Ener	mu	55	
	Energy Efficiency an	ay Id Renewable Energy <sub>Bringing ya</sub>	ou a prosperous future where energy is	
	· · · · · · · · ·	clean,abun	dant,reliable and affordable	23 July 10 Jul
Flant		Flue Gas Losses/Heating System		
		Efficiency		
	water · Looling Losses	Wall Losses		
	Load/Charge Material	Fixtures, Trays, Baskets etc. Losses	Atmosphere Losses	
	Select Type C	Solid Current Ma	C Gas	
Т	ype of Material 📄 New	Gasoline stock	asoline stock	
0	harge (Liquid)-Feed Rate (lb/hr)	55000	55000	
In	itial Temp. (Degree F)	325	550	
Di	ischarge Temp. (Degree F)	750	750	
l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l	harge) harge)	J 100 J	100	
a	harge Reacted (%)	0	0	
H	eat of Reaction (Btu/lb)	100 Endothermic	100 Endothermic	
	uulunai neal nequileu (olu/ni)		0	
н	eat Required (Btu/hr)	22,341,000	14,668,500	
	Comments	3	Previous Next	
Current	Net Heat Required (Btu/hr)	24,075,899 🛐 Furnace Sum	nmary 🛛 😰 Enter/Edit Current Dat	
Modified	Net Heat Required (Btu/hr)	16,023,925	Report De Close	
			AST Version 2.0 -	
Start Start				

Tool Metrics PROFITABLE GROWT									
2006, 2007, 2008 and 2009 Annual Saving Opportunities									
	ld	lentified Annu	al Savings	Implemented Annual Savings					
System Area	# of completed ESA's	Identified Source Energy Savings Upgrades (TBtu)	Identified Cost Savings (\$)	Implemented Source Energy Savings (TBtu)	Implemented Cost Savings (\$)	Implemented CO2 Savings (metric tons)			
Compressed Air	160	3.84	\$23,196,826	0.95	\$4,933,793	55,448			
Fans	43	7.93	\$46,561,260	0.09	\$498,984	5,001			
Process Heating	241	49.74	\$336,449,703	5.6	\$42,882,228	300,240			
Pumps	88	3.23	\$17,518,946	0.17	\$936,696	9,768			
Steam	329	80.49	\$649,726,971	21.01	\$113,819,232	1,566,705			
Multi System Paper	21	7.98	\$55,637,900	0.47	\$2,172,294	8,739			
Total	882	153.2	\$1,129,091,607	28.3	\$165,243,227	1,945,901			

# PROFITABLE GROWTH<br/>A New Story for U.S. ManufacturingEnergy Assessment Results<br/>(2006-2010)Total Plants Assessed: 2,324Identified Cost Savings: \$1.3 billion (2,145 reporting)Identified Energy Savings: 180 trillion BtuIdentified CO2 Savings: 11.2 million metric tonsAverage plant found ways to reduce energy bill by about 8%Implemented approximately 1/6 of cost savingsApproximately 1/3 is in progress and planned













## PROFITABLE GROWTH A New Story for U.S. Manufacturing

# **LEADER Benefits**

- ITP will provide tailored technical assistance:
  - Develop the energy baseline
  - Develop an energy management plan
  - Access to a Technical Account Manager
- Priority access to plant assessments and emerging advanced technologies
- Resources and tools for energy analysis
- Training workshops on financing options, advanced technology, energy management, software tools, etc.
- National recognition for commitments and progress in achieving goals
- Materials for industrial supply chains













