

STRATEGIES TO REDUCE  
ANIMAL TESTING IN US EPA'S  
**HIGH PRODUCTION VOLUME**  
CHEMICAL CHALLENGE  
SCREENING PROGRAM  
(AND BEYOND)



Chad B. Sandusky, Ph.D.

Physicians Committee for Responsible Medicine, Washington DC,  
USA

# EPA's High Production Volume (HPV) Program

- High production volume chemicals ( $\geq 1,000,000$  pounds per year)
- Assess existing hazard data
- Assess and fill data “gaps”
- No risk assessment (limited exposure considerations)

# Animal Tests Required

ENDPOINT	GUIDELINE	ANIMALS
Acute toxicity to fish	OECD 203	40-120
Acute lethality-oral	OECD 425	3-10
Repeat dose-28 or 90 days	OECD 407 OECD 408	40-65
Combined reproduction/ developmental screen	OECD 421	675
Combined repeat dose/ reproduction/developmental screen	OECD 422	675

**TOTAL: 750 – 800; possibly over 1000 animals; hundreds of thousands of dollars**

# Examples of Current Animal Welfare Principles

- Use *in vitro* **genotoxicity** versus *in vivo* unless impossible
- No **repeat dose/reproductive** testing needed for closed system intermediates
- Maximize use of **existing data**
- Use **weight-of-evidence** to avoid “checklist toxicology”
  - Use **SAR** to form chemical categories and extrapolate between members

# Extended HPV Program

- Original program ended in 2005
- EHPV initiated in 2006
- PCRM has developed expanded Animal Welfare Guidelines based on experience from review of hundreds of HPV test plans
- Industry toxicologists and other scientists have worked with PCRM to identify opportunities to reduce animal testing and still meet the HPV data requirements

# Specific Strategy Examples

## 1. Expanded Weight-of-Evidence Approach

### Commercial Hydroxyethylpiperazine (CHEP)

- Dermal reproductive/developmental study proposed
- (Q)SAR Modeling revealed low dermal absorption potential
- No systemic effects expected by the dermal route
- No testing conducted
- Pre-test *in vitro* percutaneous absorption (OECD 428) can also be used in this approach to decide whether systemic dermal toxicity testing is justified

# Specific Strategy Examples

## 2. Expanded Weight-of-Evidence Approach Isophthalonitrile

- No testing proposed
- Available developmental toxicity data not from traditional developmental study, but inferred from 28-day repeat dose and one-generation reproduction studies
- Scientifically sound approach accepted but more discussion of findings suggested

# Specific Strategy Examples

## 3. Data from Analogs

### Eicosenoic Acid, methyl ester, (Z)-

- Fatty Acid
  - Comments were submitted suggesting the use of data from other analogous substances
  - The sponsoring company cancelled proposed tests (which included all OECD mammalian endpoints) and used data from another fatty acid



# Specific Strategy Examples

## 4. Rapid Hydrolysis

### Triisopropyl borate (TIPB)

- Rapidly hydrolyzes to boric acid and isopropanol in aqueous environment
- Bench hydrolysis study at stomach acid pH (1.2) was proposed
- Rapid hydrolysis to well-studied products could be used to meet SIDS gaps

# Specific Strategy Examples

## 5. Modeling based on common toxic constituent

### Several Chemical Categories

- 6 chemical categories comprising several hundred chemicals
- All categories had common toxic constituent - PAH
- Modeling of toxicity across categories based on similar toxicity and level of PAH in mixtures
- Some limited animal testing may be needed to validate the model
- Ultimately may greatly reduce animal tests

# Summary of Strategies

- Expand use of weight-of-evidence approach
- Use data from analogs
- Take hydrolysis or other chemical activity into account
- Model based on common toxic constituent
- Others:
  - Gases
  - Highly Reactive Materials
  - Acidic/Corrosive/Irritating Materials
- **45 chemical-specific examples** and counting to reduce animal testing needed to meet HPV requirements