## The U.S. High Production Volume (HPV) Challenge Program

Richard Hefter and Barbara Leczynski U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Washington, DC

## **Topics Covered**

- HPV Challenge Program
- Participating in the Program
- · HPV Guidance Issued by EPA
- Current OPPT Initiatives
  - Coming OECD Guidance on Exposure Information
  - HPV Challenge Database

11 March 2004 NEWMOA

## U.S. HPV Challenge Program

- · History
  - Goals
  - Modeled after OECD SIDS Program
  - The beginning...

11 March 2004 NEWMOA

# THE HPV CHALLENGE PROGRAM

- HPV High Production Volume chemicals (manufactured/imported into U.S. in quantities of one million lbs or more per yr)
- Goal of the HPV Challenge Program:

<u>Have basic hazard information on all HPVs</u> <u>available to the public through the internet</u>

11 March 2004 NEWMOA 4

## MODELED AFTER OECD HPV SIDS

- OECD HPV SIDS = Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), Screening Information Data Set (SIDS)
- OECD: International organization with 29 member countries
- SIDS: A number of elements/endpoints that make up a basic set of hazard information

11 March 2004 NEWMOA 5

## The Basis for OECD Work

(1990 Council Decision/Recommendation)

- Members countries shall <u>cooperatively</u> <u>investigate HPV chemicals</u> to identify those which are potentially hazardous
- Member countries shall cooperatively <u>select</u> the HPV chemicals (...) <u>agree upon basic</u> <u>data</u> .. and <u>co-operatively make an initial</u> <u>assessment</u>

## **OECD HPV Chemicals**

- HPV chemicals are produced in quantities greater than 1000 tonnes (2.2 million lbs)\*
- HPV chemicals account for over 98% of total chemical volume
- · Over 4000 chemicals on OECD HPV List
- Basic screening level information (i.e. SIDS) should be available for all HPV chemicals...

-----

\* U.S. definition of HPV chemical is one million pounds....

11 March 2004

NEWMOA

## Screening Information Data Set (SIDS) = Minimum Hazard Data Set

**Physicochemical properties:** melting & boiling pts., vapor pressure, water solubility, partition coeff

Environmental fate: photodegradation, stability in water, biodegradation, transport/distribution (model)

**Environmental effects:** acute toxicity in fish, aquatic invertebrates and aquatic plants

**Health effects:** acute and subchronic toxicity, genetic toxicity, reproductive and developmental toxicity

11 March 2004 NEWMOA

# U.S. HPV CHALLENGE PROGRAM HISTORY

- Three separate studies....
- The Earth Day, 1998 Announcement
  - Cooperative effort among industry, government, and environmental groups
- · Two Components
  - Voluntary and Regulatory

March 2004 NEWMOA

## Three Separate Studies...

- *Toxic Ignorance* 1997 (Environmental Defense, or ED formerly EDF)
- Data Availability Study 1998 (EPA)
- Data Availability Study 1998 (American Chemistry Council, or ACC – formerly CMA)

All Concluded......

11 March 2004 NEWMOA 10

# "Most" HPVs Do Not Have Basic Hazard Information (SIDS) Results of Analysis: Health Endpoints Only Results of Analysis: Health Endpoints Only | 100 Chemicals (Randomly Selected) | 2600+ Chemicals (without IUCLID) | 2600+ Chemicals (without IUCLID) | 2600+ Chemicals (with IUCLID) | 2

# HVP Challenge: Two Components

- October 1998 formal announcement of the Challenge Program: EPA, ACC, ED, API
  - Voluntary Component (65 FR 81686—12/26/2000)
  - Regulatory Component (65 FR 81658—12/26/2000)
    - Proposed Test Rule on some chemicals not sponsored by industry

## **Voluntary Component**

- Companies asked to volunteer ("commit") to sponsor one or more HPV chemicals
- Commitment consists of naming the chemical(s), CAS number and the year the test plan and existing information will be made publicly available
- December 1, 1999, was the deadline for voluntary component

11 March 2004 NEWMOA

## Regulatory Component

- HPV Chemicals not sponsored in the Voluntary Component are subject to the Regulatory Component of the program
- "HPV Test Rule" published December 26, 2000 as a proposed rule – expected to be finalized in early 2004)
  - Will include about 30 chemicals
- Work on a test rule for additional HPV substances is underway

11 March 2004 NEWMOA 1

## Participating in the Program

- What is a submission?
  - Test Plans
  - Robust Summaries
- · Posting of a submission
- Status
  - Sponsored chemicals/number submissions posted
- · Categories

11 March 2004 NEWMOA 1:

## An HPV Submission:

 Robust Summaries of scientifically adequate existing studies showing that new testing is not necessary

### AND

• A *Test Plan* (what you plan to do\* if there are no existing data for a given endpoint)

\* Are options available without actual testing: SAR, category analysis, difficult-to-test, wt-of-evidence, etc.

11 March 2004 NEWMOA 16

# POSTING DATA & COMMENTS

- EPA posts submitted data no later than 10 days from its receipt
- The public has 120 days to comment on the information

11 March 2004 NEWMOA 17

## **HPV** Challenge Commitments

- ~2,800 HPV chemicals covered in Challenge.
- As of 2/2004:
  - 2,238 sponsored chemicals.
  - 411 companies and 113 consortia participating.
- List of sponsored chemicals can be found at: http://www.epa.gov/chemrtk/spnchems.htm

# HPV Challenge Test Plans (As of 2/2004)

- 335 Test Plans submitted covering 1,249 chemicals.
  - 110 are for categories.
  - 225 are for individual chemicals.
- All Test Plans are posted to the EPA website at: http://www.epa.gov/chemrtk/viewsrch.htm

11 March 2004 NEWMOA 19

## **Robust Summaries**

A Robust Summary is "...sufficient information to allow a technically qualified person to make an independent assessment of a given study report without having to go back to the full study report.."

### AND

will bring the most important and relevant information forward in an electronic format that can be manipulated, studied, and compared with other data

11 March 2004 NEWMOA 20

## Robust Summary Template: Repeat Dose (14-90 days) Toxicity Study

- Company, CAS No.
- · Chemical name
- Test substance remarks
- · Chemical Category
- Method, GLP, Study year
- Method remarks
- · Species, strain, sex, #
- Route of administration
- Exposure period, frequency
- · Doses, controls, post-
- exposure observations
   Statistical methods
- NOEL, LOEL, Type of effect
- · Toxic response
- · Statistical results
- Results remarks
- Concluding remarks
- Reliability, General remarks, References.

11 March 2004 NEWMOA 2

# Robust Summaries Submitted (Early Fall, 2003)

- >8,000
- Health Effects—4,984
- Environmental Effects —1,370
- Environmental Fate—638
- Physicochemical Properties—1,434

11 March 2004 NEWMOA 22

## **Health Effects**

<b>Endpoint</b>	<u>Published</u>	<u>Unpublished</u>	<u>Total</u>
Acute	414	1,245	1,659
Repeat Dose	419	495	914
Gene Tox	874	850	1,724
Repro/Dev	337	314	651

11 March 2004 NEWMOA 23

## Environmental Effects/Fate

<b>Endpoint</b>	<u>Published</u>	<u>Unpublished</u>	<u>Total</u>
Fish	148	473	621
Dphnid	97	348	445
Algae	65	239	304
Biodeg	196	442	638

## How SIDS Endpoints are Met in the HPV Challenge Program

Human Health **Environmental Effects** Adequate studies 50% Adequate studies 58% Estimation Estimation 35% Testing Testing 7%

11 March 2004 NEWMOA

## Categories

"a group of chemicals whose properties are likely to be similar or follow a pattern as a result of structural similarity"

"These structural similarities <u>may</u> create a predictable pattern in any or all of the following parameters: physicochemical properties, environmental fate, environmental effects, and/or human health effects."

11 March 2004 NEWMOA

## Why Use Categories?

- To assess the effects of chemicals on human health and the environment
- Faster and more efficient than chemical by chemical approach
- · Results in reduced costs and animal usage

NEWMOA

## A category may be based on:

- A common functional group (e.g., aldehyde, epoxide, ester, etc.)
- · The likelihood of common precursors and/or breakdown products (e.g., acid/ester/salt)
- · An incremental and constant change across the category (e.g., CH2 for alpha olefins)
- A series of chemical reaction products/mixtures (e.g., petroleum streams, surfactant mixtures)

NEWMOA

## Types of Categories

- Traditional
  - --Common functional group
  - --Incremental change in chain length
- Production streams

  - --Petroleum products --Sequential change in composition
- Mixture families
  - -- Family of similar substances

## Categories in the HPV Challenge Program

• About 82% of the chemicals submitted to date in the U.S. HPV Challenge Program are members of a category....

110 categories (1024 chemicals)\*

\* As of 2/2004

# Categories in the U.S. HPV Challenge Program

- Submitter proposes a category in the Challenge Program
- · EPA provides comments
  - EPA does not "approve" Category Test Plans
- Once proposed testing is completed, an evaluation of the results - and how it applies to the rest ("untested" members) of the category - needs to be made (Category Analysis Document)

11 March 2004 NEWMOA 31

# U.S. HPV Challenge Guidance Documents

http://www.epa.gov/chemrtk/guidocs.htm EXAMPLES:

- · Data Adequacy
- · Developing Robust Summaries
- · Developing Categories
- Use of Structure-Activity Relationships (SAR)
- Exposure Templates
- · Closed System Intermediates
- No Longer HPV

11 March 2004 NEWMOA 32

## Some Current OPPT Initiatives

- Coming OECD guidance on exposure...
- · HPV Information Database

11 March 2004 NEWMOA 3

## OECD Summary Exposure Reporting Format

- Internationally agreed upon format for summarizing exposure data and information
- Comparable to the hazard robust summary
- Flexible format, yet comprehensive in scope
- Covers workers, environmental exposures, and consumer exposures; Monitoring and modeling
- · Undergoing final approval through OECD

1 March 2004 NEWMOA 34

# Consistency in Reporting Information

- Consistent reporting format: allows reviewers to know where to look for information such as:
  - Completeness of the overall assessment
  - Summary of release and exposure information by activity (mfg/processing/uses)
  - Discussion of objective and elements of quality of individual exposure estimates

11 March 2004 NEWMOA 35

# Incorporates Important Basic Principles

- Characterize Transparency:
  - Describe underlying data, assumptions, uncertainties & data gaps
- Characterize Data Quality:
  - Describe objective and study design, sampling methods, analytical methods, QA/QC, uncertainty
  - Describe model objective, key inputs, assumptions, uncertainty, scenario, model evaluation/model peer review
- Characterize Completeness:
  - Describe scope of assessment, what exposures were assessed, what were not assessed, why they were not assessed

## **HPV** Challenge Database

- Currently Test Plans/Robust Summaries available only on HPV Website
  - --Submission date ordered/Not searchable
- Searchable Webpage end of March
- OPPT has been involved in development of an HPV information system for the past few years

11 March 2004 NEWMOA 37

# HPVIS: High Production Volume Information System

- The purpose of the system is to store and manage submitted data, and to facilitate access to information via the program's website
- In the Summer of 2003, OPPT held meetings with stakeholders and customers to understand their expectations

11 March 2004 NEWMOA 38

# HPVIS: Data Management and Accessibility

- Based on stakeholder and customer input, OPPT has prioritized its efforts
- · Focus will be on:
  - $-\,$  re-designing the information system to meet needs
  - improved search capabilities on the website
- Other desirable features for future enhancements:
  - more focus on accessing endpoint/toxicity data
  - public access using system data
  - integrate with other agency systems