

Material Impacts and Embodied Carbon Policy Options Kate Simonen AIA, SE, (hon) IStuctE Professor and Chair, UW Architecture Founding Director, CLF

April 22nd, 2022

CONSTRUCTING AND OPERATING BUILDINGS HAS A HUGE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT.

In the next 40 years, the global building stock is expected to double, an increase equivalent to constructing an additional New York City every 35 days.

During this period of growth we expect embodied carbon to make up **nearly half of that impact**.





What is Embodied Carbon?

> #rs|ujkw#355/#duerq#Dhdghuvkls#ruxp

CLF Carbon Leadership Forum What is **Embodied Carbon**?





What is **Embodied Carbon**?





1. Embodied carbon is significant

- The largest contributor to global emissions by end-use is the industrial sector ~30%
- Steel and cement, which are used in building construction, are each individually responsible for more emissions than all commercial building energy use each year
- Over the full life cycle, the impacts of the built environment are spread across nearly every economic sector

Data sources: Emissions data from IEA and WRI Climate Watch (2016 GHG Emissions Data)

Residential 11% Commercial 7% Building Waste 3% operations 18% Iron & Steel <8% Cement and other non-metallic minerals <7% Land Use Change Transport & Forestry 4% 17% Chemical and petrochemical 6% Non-ferrous metals (aluminum, etc.) <1% Construction 0.5% Other Wood and wood products < 0.5% industry 8%

Global GHG emissions and the life cycle of buildings

When considered over their full life cycle, the building industry influences nearly every major sector of global GHG emissions.



> 揮rs | uljkw\$355,揮duerq#Dhdghuvkls推ruxp

2. Embodied carbon is urgent



> 揮rs | 山jkw#355/#duerq#Dhdghuvkls#ruxp



3. Embodied carbon disproportionately impacts frontline communities



Supply Chain Concerns



> #rs uljk#\$355/#duerg#bhdghuvkls#ruxp



Four rules of thumb



> 指rs |ujkw#355/#duerq#Dhdghuvkls#ruxp



Embodied Carbon Polies are Coming

O

National Policy

- CLF Policy Toolkit
 - Example policies
 - Map tracking policies

https://carbonleadershipforum.org/clfcarbon-policy-toolkit/



Local Policy

State or Provincial Policy



Matching Policy Opportunities with Embodied Carbon Reduction Strategies

	Optimize Project	Optimize System	Optimize Procurement
STRATEGIES	 Build less, reuse more Design to reduce embodied carbon and increase material/structural efficiency 	 Choose low carbon systems and assemblies Use alternate, low-carbon materials 	 Select the lowest carbon version of the selected product Clean manufacturing (efficiency, fuel switching)
TOOLS	Calculators	Whole Building Life Cycle Assessment (WBLCA)	Environmental Product Declaration (EPDs) / EC3 Tool
OLICY TYPES	Reuse & Deconstruction		
	City Zoning, Land Use, and G	ireen Building Incentive Programs	Procurement Policy
		Building Regulations and Codes	
Ĕ		Climate Action Plans	





Why Procurement? Why Buy Clean?

Why Procurement? Leveraging Public Procurement

- Procurement policies leverage money that is already being spent
- Align public procurement with environmental, labor, and equity goals
- In the US, nearly half of all cement and a fifth of steel is purchased with tax dollars
- 32% of the embodied carbon of construction in the United States between 2008-2018 was attributed to public projects



Relative contributions of the global warming potential of US construction for private and public projects). Data sources: <u>US Census Bureau</u> ("Annual Value of Construction Spending Put in Place" for 2008 - 2018); US EPA Office of Research and Development (<u>USEEIO v1.1</u> data).



Why Procurement? Addressing the Carbon Loophole

- Emissions are often accounted locally, creating a 'carbon loophole'
- The majority of a product (and companies) embodied carbon footprint is generated in its supply chain, which is often spread across the globe

"For the average company, supply chain emissions are around 11.4 times greater than direct emissions" (CDP Supply Chain)



Data Sourcek<a>CDP Supply Chain





Current Policy Landscape

Growing U.S. Procurement Policy Landscape

- State
 - First policy introduced in CA in 2017
 - Bills introduced in 8 states in 2021, already continued 2022 momentum
- Federal
 - GSA requirements for concrete/asphalt as of March 2022 (now part of P100)
 - Federal Buy Clean taskforce launched following EO 14057
- International
 - Canadian Greening Government Initiative
 - UN IDDI working to align global green procurement policy

https://carbonleadershipforum.org/clf-carbonpolicy-toolkit/





Low Embodied Carbon Procurement Policy Framework



Starting with a few highRequire transparency in
impact materialsSet emissions
reductions targets for
materials where data isPerformance incentives
to speed compliance/
into project and bid
documents

available





2022 State and Federal Procurement Policy Landscape *(as of March 2022)*

Trends in proposed/passed policies: **Eligible Materials and Projects**

Which projects are covered?

Most proposed bills have included both:

- Vertical infrastructure (e.g. buildings)
- Horizontal infrastructure (e.g. transportation projects)

REMINDER A procurement policy refers to spending on materials used in <u>public</u> <u>projects</u> like universities, courthouses, or roads.

Which materials are covered?

- The most frequently included materials are concrete and steel, including readymixed concrete, structural steel, reinforcing steel
- The following materials are also included, in order of most to least common:
 - Asphalt
 - Engineered wood/flat glass/insulation
 - Finishes (CA AB 1369 is first bill to include)



Trends in proposed/passed policies: Environmental Product Declarations (EPDs)

- EPDs are **third party verified** disclosures of a material's environmental impacts *(like a nutrition label)*
- Must follow international LCA/EPD standards (ISO) and industry-specific rules (PCRs)
- **Performance-based:** Enables companies to pursue (and communicate) the clean manufacturing solutions that are right for their facilities and products
- Focus on upstream (sourcing > manufacturing) impacts and strategies like:
 - Plant energy efficiency & on-site renewables
 - Sourcing (recycled content, etc.)
 - Carbon capture and utilization

Product Impacts

Declared Unit: 1 m³ of 10,000 psi concrete at 28 days

Amount Per Declared Unit

Global Warming Potential	445 kgCO ₂ eq	
Emitted	460 kgCO ₂ eq	
Sequestered	-15 kgCO ₂ eq	
Ozone Depletion	0.000 kgCFC11eq	
Acidification	2.96 kgSO ₂ eq	
Eutrophication	0.09 kgNeq	
Smog Formation	0.61 kgO ₃ eq	
Primary Energy Demand	3017 MJ	
Non-renewable	3000 MJ	
Renewable	17 MJ	



Standards Global Warming Potential (GWP) Limits

Sets a **maximum allowable value** for the carbon intensity (e.g. CO2e per unit) of a product

Example:

Buy Clean California requires that rebar used on eligible projects must be <1.06 MT CO₂eq/MT of rebar, as verified by a Type III, facility-specific EPD



Read more about the limits set by the Buy Clean California on the <u>official DGS website</u> or read the CLF Report <u>Buy Clean California Limits</u>.



Lowering Limits Over Time: Option 1

Buy Clean California requires the GWP limits to be set at industry average and updated every 3 years to continue to reflect the industry average.





Standards Reduction Targets from a Baseline

Sets an **initial baseline carbon intensity** (e.g. CO2e per unit) that a product must reduce from. *Baselines may be for a specific year, or relative to a value that updates over time.*

Example:

This LEEDv4 <u>Pilot Credit</u> awards 1-2 points to teams that achieve percentage reductions from the <u>CLF</u> <u>Material Baselines</u>, as verified by a product-specific EPD.



Read more about the low, typical, and high GWP values published in the <u>CLF Material Baselines</u> for 30+ construction products.



Lowering Limits Over Time: Option 2

If an initial GWP baseline is set, policies may require percentage reductions by certain dates. This is similar to how companies or governments typically make public commitments to reduce carbon broadly.





Incentives

\$

Goal: Rewarding high performers, incentivizing innovation, and increasing compliance

- **Direct financial support/tax incentives** for developing EPDs
 - Examples: <u>Oregon State EPD Program</u>; included in <u>Buy Clean Oregon</u> passed in 2022; included in Build Back Better Act *(\$20M for EPD program run by EPA)*
- **Performance incentives** to contractors at end of project (if achieved certain GWP reductions)
- Purchasing preference incentives to evaluate products for carbon AND cost
 - Example: Bid Incentives included in original <u>NY LECCLA</u> and later versions of <u>CA SB-778</u>
- Expedited product evaluation by for new products
 - Example: Proposed in <u>NY Climate Forward Concrete Leadership Act</u>)



Increasing Policy Compliance

Policies that are difficult to comply with may be less successful (i.e. result in less embodied carbon reductions, etc.)

Examples of tactics used by government agencies to ease compliance and increase the success of a policy:

- Provide (or partner to provide) free education and training sessions
- Standardized, easy to use reporting interfaces for project teams
- Pilot projects to test requirements
- Model specifications or other documents for use by project teams
- Multi-year timelines for phase-in of requirements
- Policy exceptions and waivers (or 'hardship clauses')
- Early adoption incentives





Thank you!